

# Scary Acronyms (and Super Creeps)

A take on OIBITs, HRTBs, and other charming abbreviations



Patryk Wychowaniec

### Past

Quite undoubtedly, many interesting things happened in the past.

For instance, on September 2nd, 1752 **six and a half million** Britons went to bed and woke up on September 14th. For instance, on September 2nd, 1752 **six and a half million** Britons went to bed and woke up on September 14th.

The reason was: Calendar (New Style) Act 1750.



This guy is Pope Gregory XIII.



This guy is Pope Gregory XIII.

In 1582 he was 10 years into his reign as a leader of the Catholic church.



This guy is Pope Gregory XIII.

In 1582 he was 10 years into his reign as a leader of the Catholic church.

... and he had a problem with **Easter**.



To understand why, you've gotta remember that in 1582, Julian calendar was (still) all the hype.



To understand why, you've gotta remember that in 1582, **Julian calendar** was (still) all the hype.

It measured a year as **365 days** and **6 hours long**...

To understand why, you've gotta remember that in 1582, **Julian calendar** was (still) all the hype.

It measured a year as **365 days** and **6 hours long**...

... which was *close*, but not exactly, **365 days**, **5 hours** and **49 minutes**.



Pope Gregory XIII, afraid that "Earth days" (and thus holidays) have diverged over time, declared that countries under the Catholic dominionship should skip a few days to catch up.



Most countries agreed

Most countries agreed

Britain did not

Most countries agreed

Britain did not

... until 1752

In 1752 Britain eventually legislated **Calendar (New Style) Act** , cutting **11 days** from everyone's lives.

# **Fast-forward**

Let's fast-forward a few years...

What happened in 2014?

In 2014, there was a FIFA World Cup:



In 2014, Marek Sawicki was appointed to the position of minister of Agriculture and Rural Development in Poland:



#### Also, this document happened:

Tree: 8fa971a670 - rfcs / text / 0019-opt-in-builtin-traits.md			Find file	Сор	y pat	
pnkfelix Fixed typos and format inconsistencies in headers of various RFCs.		f9	f030e or	n 8 Oct	8 Oct 2014	
1 contributor						
531 lines (420 sloc) 23.7 KB	Raw	Blame	History		Ī	
• Start Date: 2014-09-18						
<ul> <li>RFC PR #: rust-lang/rfcs#19, rust-lang/rfcs#127</li> </ul>						
Rust Issue #: rust-lang/rust#13231						
Rust Issue #: rust-lang/rust#13231  Summary						
The high-level idea is to add language features that simultaneously achieve three goals:						
1. move Send and Share out of the language entirely and into the standard library, pro easily implement and use similar "marker" traits of their own devising;	oviding mechan	isms for	end use	rs to		
2. make "normal" Duct types condeble and charable by default without the need for ever	light ant incord					

3. continue to require "unsafe" Rust types (those that manipulate unsafe pointers or implement special abstractions) to "optin" to sendability and sharability with an unsafe declaration.





OIBITs

OIBITs

OIBITs

OIBITs

OIBITs

To understand OIBITs, let's see them at work.



Let's create our very-own struct:

struct StrWrapper(&'static str);

RUST



Now, let's create a variable holding an instance of it:

```
struct StrWrapper(&'static str);

fn main() {
    let text = StrWrapper(
        "c-rustacean is a rust programmer who likes c
better"
    );
}
```

And, just for the kicks, let's send it into another thread:

```
RUST
struct StrWrapper(&'static str);
fn main() {
    let text = StrWrapper(
        "c-rustacean is a rust programmer who likes c
better"
    );
    std::thread::spawn(move || {
        println!("{}", text.0);
    }).join().unwrap();
}
```

So, why does this code compile?

Not all values can be safely sent across thread boundaries - for instance we can't send **Rc** , because it's not thread-safe:

```
use std::rc::Rc;
fn main() {
    let num = Rc::new(123);
    std::thread::spawn(move || {
        println!("{}", num);
    }).join().unwrap();
}
```

}

```
use std::rc::Rc;
fn main() {
    let num = Rc::new(123);
    let mut num2 = Rc::clone(&num);
    std::thread::spawn(move || {
        // err: race read
        println!("{}", num);
    }).join().unwrap();
    // err: race write
    *Rc::get_mut(&mut num2).unwrap() += 1;
```

#### RUST

To distinguish between values (types) that can be sent across thread boundaries, and those which can't, Rust uses the Send trait.

To distinguish between values (types) that can be sent across thread boundaries, and those which can't, Rust uses the Send trait.

In other words: only when a type implements **Send**, can it be safely transferred into another thread.

We can confirm this by inspecting the definition of std::thread::spawn()

pub fn spawn<F, T>(f: F) -> JoinHandle<T>
where

```
RUST
```

```
F: FnOnce() -> T,
```

```
F: Send + 'static,
```

T: Send + 'static,

Going back to my original question:

Why does this code compile, if we don't have **impl Send for StrWrapper { }** anywhere?

RUST

```
struct StrWrapper(&'static str);

fn main() {
    let text = StrWrapper(
        "c-rustacean is a rust programmer who likes c better"
    );
    std::thread::spawn(move || {
        println!("{}", text.0);
    }).join().unwrap();
}
```

OIBIT stands for: **opt-in built-in trait**.

OIBIT stands for: **opt-in built-in trait**.

There are two vital things you have to know about opt-in builtin traits:
# OIBITs

OIBIT stands for: **opt-in built-in trait**.

There are two vital things you have to know about opt-in builtin traits:

• they aren't opt-in (mostly),

# OIBITs

OIBIT stands for: **opt-in built-in trait**.

There are two vital things you have to know about opt-in builtin traits:

- they aren't opt-in (mostly),
- they aren't built-in (mostly).

# OIBITs

OIBIT stands for: **opt-in built-in trait**.

There are two vital things you have to know about opt-in builtin traits:

- they aren't opt-in (mostly),
- they aren't built-in (mostly).

The feature was later renamed into **auto traits**, so from this point forward we're going to stick to the new terminology.

When you have a regular trait, you have to implement it yourself (opt-in):

```
struct StrWrapper(&'static str);
```

```
impl fmt::Display for StrWrapper {
    /* ... */
}
```

On the other hand, **auto traits** are implemented for you *automatically*, unless you explicitly **opt-out** of them:

struct StrWrapper(&'static str);

impl !Send for StrWrapper { }
// ^ notice the exclamation mark

}

```
struct StrWrapper(&'static str);
impl !Send for StrWrapper { } // here
fn main() {
    let text = StrWrapper(
        "c-rustacean is a rust programmer who likes c
better"
    );
    std::thread::spawn(move || {
        println!("{}", text.0);
   }).join().unwrap();
```

Generally, the rule is:

Type **T** automatically implements auto trait **X** when **all** fields of that type implement **X** too.

```
pub struct Word {
    word: String,
    synonyms: Vec<String>,
    antonyms: Vec<String>,
}
fn assert_is_send<T: Send>() { }
fn main() {
    assert_is_send::<Word>();
}
```

Since:

- String already implements Send,
- Vec<T> implements Send when T does,

... compiler automatically deducts that it's safe to **impl Send** for this struct too.

```
pub struct Word {
    word: String,
    synonyms: Vec<Rc<String>>, // here
    antonyms: Vec<String>,
}
fn assert_is_send<T: Send>() { }
fn main() {
    assert_is_send::<Word>();
        // error: ^^^^ `Word` cannot be sent between
        // threads safely
}
```

Since Rc implements !Send, compiler automatically deducts that our Word is !Send too.

```
use std::ffi::c_void;
```

```
pub struct EnterpriseFizzBuzzFfiWrapper {
    java_handler_object_facade: *const c_void,
}
```

```
fn assert_is_send<T: Send>() { }
```

Send isn't magic - it's defined in the standard library:

```
pub unsafe auto trait Send {
    // empty.
}
impl<T: ?Sized> !Send for *const T {}
impl<T: ?Sized> !Send for *mut T {}
impl<T: ?Sized> !Send for Rc<T> {}
// ... and many more
```

}

No one prevents you from creating your own auto traits:

```
RUST
auto trait Friend { }
impl !Friend for String { }
fn ensure_friend<T: Friend>() { }
fn main() {
   ensure_friend::<&str>();
   ensure_friend::<String>();
         // error: ^^^^ the trait `Friend` is not
                   implemented for
         `std::string::String`
         //
```

# Me, Myself and I

My name's **Patryk Wychowaniec**, a.k.a. **Patryk27**:



keybase.io/patryk27







4programmers.net (patryk27)



Best way to find HRTBs? Hidden in the plain sight!



# struct Movie { /\* ... \*/

}

}

```
struct Movie {
    title: String,
    year: isize,
}
impl Movie {
    pub fn print(&self) {
        todo!()
    }
}
```

```
struct Movie {
   title: String,
   year: isize,
}
impl Movie {
   pub fn print(&self, serialize: &Serializer<Self>) {
      todo!()
   }
}
```

```
struct Movie {
    title: String,
    year: isize,
}
impl Movie {
    pub fn print(&self, serialize: &Serializer<Self>) {
        println!("{}", serialize(self));
    }
}
```

```
RUST
struct Movie {
    title: String,
    year: isize,
}
impl Movie {
    pub fn print(&self, serialize: &Serializer<Self>) {
        println!("{}", serialize(self));
    }
}
fn main() {
    todo!()
}
```

```
RUST
/* ... */
impl Movie {
    pub fn print(&self, serialize: &Serializer<Self>) {
        println!("{}", serialize(self));
    }
}
fn main() {
    Movie {
        title: "The Room".into(),
        year: 2003,
    }.print(todo!());
}
```



How should our Serializer type look like?

type Serializer = ?;



First of all - it has to be generic over **T**:

type Serializer<T> = ?;



... we also want it to be a function:

type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(?) -> ?;



... a one returning string:

type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(?) -> String;



... and, obviously, it has to accept the object it wants to serialize:

type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;

#### Voilà:

```
type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String; // here
struct Movie {
   title: String,
   year: isize,
}
impl Movie {
    pub fn print(&self, serialize: &Serializer<Self>) {
        println!("{}", serialize(self));
    }
}
fn main() {
    Movie {
        title: "The Room".into(),
       year: 2003,
   }.print(todo!());
}
```

Now, to create some actual serializer, we're going to use serde.

```
use serde::Serialize; // | here
type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;
#[derive(Serialize)] // | here
struct Movie {
   title: String,
   year: isize,
}
impl Movie {
    pub fn print(&self, serialize: &Serializer<Self>) {
        println!("{}", serialize(self));
    }
}
fn to_json<T>(value: &T) -> String where T: Serialize { // | here
    todo!()
                                                        // /
                                                        // /
}
fn main() {
    Movie {
        title: "The Room".into(),
       year: 2003,
   }.print(to_json); // | here
}
```

```
use serde::Serialize;
type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;
#[derive(Serialize)]
struct Movie {
   title: String,
   year: isize,
}
impl Movie {
    pub fn print(&self, serialize: &Serializer<Self>) {
        println!("{}", serialize(self));
    }
}
fn to_json<T>(value: &T) -> String where T: Serialize {
    serde_json::to_string(value) // | here
        .unwrap()
                                 11 1
}
fn main() {
   Movie {
        title: "The Room".into(),
       year: 2003,
    }.print(to_json);
}
```

error: aborting due to previous error

expected reference:

&(dyn for<'r> Fn(&'r Movie) → String + 'static)

expected reference:

&(dyn for<'r> Fn(&'r Movie) → String + 'static)

(that's our Serializer)

expected reference:

&(dyn for<'r> Fn(&'r Movie) → String + 'static)

(that's our Serializer)

found fn item:

for<'r> fn(&'r ) → String {to\_json::<>}

expected reference:

&(dyn for<'r> Fn(&'r Movie) → String + 'static)

(that's our Serializer)

found fn item:

for<'r> fn(&'r ) → String {to\_json::<>}

(that's our to\_json)
expected reference:

&(dyn for<'r> Fn(&'r Movie) → String + 'static)

(that's our Serializer)

found fn item:

for<'r> fn(&'r ) → String {to\_json::<>}

(that's our to\_json)

What's this **dyn for** thingie? We didn't write it anywhere!



Let's go back to our type:

type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;

RUST

//

//

Let's go back to our type:

#### type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;

RUST

^^ so... what's the
 lifetime of this?

Let's go back to our type:

<pre>type Serializer<t> = dyn Fn(&amp;T) -&gt; String;</t></pre>	RUST
// ^^ so what's the	
// lifetime of this?	
//	
// why is this even	
// legal?	

Let's go back to our type:

type Serializer <t< th=""><th>&gt; = dyn Fn(&amp;T) -&gt; String;</th><th>RUST</th></t<>	> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;	RUST
//	^^ so what's the	
//	lifetime of this?	
//		
//	why is this even	
//	legal?	

Answer: Lifetime elision

#### **HRTBs** Lifetime elision

To make common lifetime patterns more ergonomic, Rust sometimes allows for lifetimes to be *elided* (i.e. ignored, skipped).

### **HRTBs** Lifetime elision

To make common lifetime patterns more ergonomic, Rust sometimes allows for lifetimes to be *elided* (i.e. ignored, skipped).

Our tiny example actually used this mechanism **thrice**!

```
impl Movie {
    pub fn print<'a, 'b>(
        &'a self,
        serialize: &'b Serializer<Self>,
        ) {
            println!("{}", serialize(self));
        }
}
```

#### RUST

```
// v
fn to_json<T>(value: &T) -> String
where T: Serialize {
    serde_json::to_string(value)
        .unwrap()
}
```

```
RUST
```

```
fn to_json<'a, T>(value: &'a T) -> String
where T: Serialize {
    serde_json::to_string(value)
        .unwrap()
}
```

RUST

type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;

RUST

type Serializer<T> = dyn Fn(&T) -> String;

RUST

What we **want** is a function that will work *for any* lifetime.

We don't care how long &T lives, as long as we can access it during the function call.

We *could* do...

type Serializer<'a, T> = dyn Fn(&'a T) -> String;

RUST

We *could* do...

type Serializer<'a, T> = dyn Fn(&'a T) -> String;

RUST

... but that would be a bit cumbersome to use (and, in a few places, *impossible* to apply).

... plus we've already said that we want our serializer to work for **any** lifetime, not a specific one.

Here come **HRTBs**!

Here come higher-ranked trait bounds!

type Serializer<T> = dyn for<'a> Fn(&'a T) -> String; RUST

The underlined part is the way we form a higher-ranked trait bound.

What it means is basically: I don't care about the precise lifetime, make it work for *every one*.

Thus the name: higher-ranked as if not limited to specific lifetime, lifted above the ordinary types <sup>™</sup>.

By the way, it might be tempting to create types such as:

```
type Wat1 = for<T> T;
type Wat2 = for<'a, T> &'a T;
type Wat3 = for<T> Vec<T>;
type Wat4 = for<T> Vec<Box<T>>;
```





By the way, it might be tempting to create types such as:

```
type Wat1 = for<T> T;
type Wat2 = for<'a, T> &'a T;
type Wat3 = for<T> Vec<T>;
type Wat4 = for<T> Vec<Box<T>>;
```

RUST

Worry no more - they are all **illegal**:

```
error: only lifetime parameters can be used in this context
|
1 | type Wat4 = for<T> Vec<Box<T>>;
| ^
```

Let's go find another HRTB in the wild.



Let's create a function:

```
fn call_me_maybe() {
```

}

```
RUST
```



Let's make our function create an object *inside* it:

```
fn call_me_maybe() {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
}
```

}

And, eventually, let's make it accept a closure that will get invoked with a *reference* to that object:

```
fn call_me_maybe(callback: impl Fn(&String)) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
```

RUST

#### Now for a quick test:

```
fn call_me_maybe(callback: impl Fn(&String)) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
fn main() {
    call_me_maybe(|motto| {
        println!("motto: {}", motto);
    });
}
```

#### It works:

```
fn call_me_maybe(callback: impl Fn(& RUST
    let motto = String::from("existential
crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
fn main() {
    call_me_maybe(|motto| {
        println!("motto: {}", motto);
    });
}
```

motto: existential crisis

... but:



... but:

```
// v what's this
// v lifetime, exactly?
fn call_me_maybe(callback: impl Fn(&String)) {
   let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
   callback(&motto);
}
```

Once again, **lifetime elision** kicked-in - let's try to desugar our code and see what's happening underneath.

Our first thought may be:

```
fn call_me_maybe<'a>(callback: impl Fn(&'a String)) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
```

... but, unfortunately:



error[E0597]: `motto` does not live long enough

error[E0597]: `motto` does not live long enough

What the compiler is *trying* to say is that our **&motto** doesn't necessarily live for **'a**, as we've tried to persuade it.
What the compiler is *trying* to say is that our **&motto** doesn't necessarily live for **'a**, as we've tried to persuade it.

And, to no one's surprise, that's *true*!

To see why, let's move on to the **call site**.

```
fn call_me_maybe<'a>(callback: impl Fn(&'a String)) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
fn main() {
    // v-----v
    call_me_maybe::<'some_lifetime>(|motto| {
        println!("motto: {}", motto);
    });
}
```

RUST

### From the main 's point of view, what's this lifetime?

```
fn call_me_maybe<'a>(callback: impl Fn(&'a String)) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
fn main() {
    // v-----v
    call_me_maybe::<'some_lifetime>(|motto| {
        println!("motto: {}", motto);
    });
}
```

This lifetime depends on *nothing* inside the **main** function, so what sense does it even make here?

RUST

}

Why do we even declared our function as **generic** over a lifetime **'a**, if there's just **one** lifetime that could ever possibly match?

let motto = String::from("existential crisis");

} // lifetime 'motto ends here

So, similarly to the case we'd had before, we want for call\_me\_maybe() to invoke a callback *without* caring for / naming the actual lifetime.

So, similarly to the case we'd had before, we want for call\_me\_maybe() to invoke a callback *without* caring for / naming the actual lifetime.

Higher-ranked trait bounds come to the rescue.

```
fn call_me_maybe(callback: impl Fn(&String)) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
```

RUST

```
fn call_me_maybe(
    callback: impl for<'a> Fn(&'a String)
) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
```

```
fn call_me_maybe(
    callback: impl for<'a> Fn(&'a String)
) {
    let motto = String::from("existential crisis");
    callback(&motto);
}
```

Lo and behold, it actually works.





```
pub trait Iterator {
   type Item;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```



```
pub trait Iterator {
   type Item;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

Pros:



```
pub trait Iterator {
   type Item;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

Pros:

• really simple & tidy



```
pub trait Iterator {
    type Item;
    fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

RUST

Pros:

- really simple & tidy
- does its job



```
pub trait Iterator {
    type Item;
    fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

RUST

Pros:

- really simple & tidy
- does its job
- with us since, like, forever



```
pub trait Iterator {
    type Item;
    fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

RUST

Pros:

Cons:

- really simple & tidy
- does its job
- with us since, like, forever



```
pub trait Iterator {
    type Item;
    fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

RUST

Pros:

### Cons:

- really simple & tidy
- does its job
- with us since, like, forever
- how do I return an item that borrows from the iterator?

Now, that *might* seem like a weird question at first, so let's get our hands on some code that would benefit from such iterator.

```
use std::fs::File;
use std::io::{BufRead, BufReader};
fn main() {
    let file = File::open("test.txt")
        .unwrap();
    let lines = BufReader::new(file)
        .lines();
    for line in lines {
        println!("{}", line.unwrap());
    }
}
```

#### RUST



What's wrong with this code?

What's wrong with this code?

It's alright~ish, but not perfect, because it's **suboptimal**.

### fn main() {

}

}

/\* ... \*/

```
RUST
```

```
for line in lines {
    // For each line, `BufReader` has to allocate a
    // brand-new `String`.
    //
    // Ideally, `BufReader` would just return
    // `Iterator<Item=&str>`, re-using the same
    // `String` underneath.
```

```
println!("{}", line.unwrap());
```

Naturally, a question arises:

Why can't Lines (i.e. the object you get by invoking
.lines()) be Iterator<Item = &str> right now?

Naturally, a question arises:

Why can't Lines (i.e. the object you get by invoking
.lines() be Iterator<Item = &str> right now?

Is it because some big Rust-pharma doesn't want you to know about *truly* zero-cost abstractions?

Naturally, a question arises:

Why can't Lines (i.e. the object you get by invoking
.lines() be Iterator<Item = &str> right now?

Is it because some big Rust-pharma doesn't want you to know about *truly* zero-cost abstractions?

To find out, let's try to create such iterator!

### Starting from the top:

### struct SmartLines {

/\* ... \*/

}

### RUST



For maximum pleasure & re-usability, we're going to be generic over everything that's **Read**:

```
use std::io::Read;
struct SmartLines<R: Read> {
    /* ... */
}
```



As for the fields - since what we're creating is a *wrapper*, we'll for sure need to store the underlying reader:

```
use std::io::Read;
```

```
RUST
```

```
struct SmartLines<R: Read> {
    reader: R,
}
```



Since what we're creating is *smart*, we'll for sure need to store the line-buffer too:

RUST

```
use std::io::Read;
struct SmartLines<R: Read> {
    reader: R,
    line: String,
}
```

We could use some constructor:

```
/* ... */
```

```
RUST
```

```
impl<R: Read> SmartLines<R> {
    pub fn new(reader: R) -> Self {
        Self {
            reader,
            line: String::new(),
        }
    }
}
```



And, finally, the impl Iterator - we're so, so close!



We're going to yield **&str**, so:

```
/* ... */
impl<R: Read> Iterator for SmartLines<R> {
   type Item = &str;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
      todo!()
   }
}
```

We're going to yield **&str**, so:

```
/* ... */
impl<R: Read> Iterator for SmartLines<R> {
   type Item = &str;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
      todo!()
   }
}
```

... oh, right...

error[E0106]: missing lifetime specifier

```
type Item = &str;
```

^ expected named lifetime parameter

RUST

But - *d'oh!* - why don't we just implement the **Iterator** *for a reference*?
#### 

/\* ... \*/

}

```
fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
    todo!()
}
```

```
}
```

```
fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
    Some(&self.line)
```

```
}
```

}

```
error[E0495]: cannot infer an appropriate lifetime for borrow expression due to conflicting requirements
             Some(&self.line)
                  note: first, the lifetime cannot outlive the anonymous lifetime #1 defined on the method body at 20:5...
          fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
              Some(&self.line)
           }
           ٨
note: ...so that reference does not outlive borrowed content
            Some(&self.line)
                  ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^ ^
note: but, the lifetime must be valid for the lifetime `'a` as defined on the impl at 17:6...
    impl<'a, R: Read> Iterator for &'a mut SmartLines<R> {
         Λ٨
note: ...so that the types are compatible
           fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
                                     ____Λ
              Some(&self.line)
          }
          ۸
```

}

What the compiler is trying to say is that **&mut self** doesn't necessarily live for **'a**, because they are two separate lifetimes:

impl<'a, R: Read> Iterator for &'a mut SmartLines<R> {
 RUST
 type Item = &'a str;

```
// v doesn't necessarily predecease 'a
fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
    Some(&self.line)
}
```

We could try fixing this by annotating the lifetime we *expect* to be there:

```
impl<'a, R: Read> Iterator for &'a mut SmartLines<R> {
    type Item = &'a str;
        // vv here
    fn next(&'a mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
        Some(&self.line)
     }
}
```

We could try fixing this by annotating the lifetime we *expect* to be there:

```
impl<'a, R: Read> Iterator for &'a mut SmartLines<R> {
    type Item = &'a str;
        // vv here
    fn next(&'a mut self) -> Option<Self::Item> {
        Some(&self.line)
     }
}
```

... but, as you might have guessed, that doesn't work

error[E0308]: method not compatible with trait

= note: expected fn pointer

`fn(&mut &'a mut SmartLines<R>) -> Option<\_>`
found fn pointer

`fn(&'a mut &'a mut SmartLines<R>) -> Option<\_>`



The proper solution, as it turns out, requires a magic of **GATs**.

The proper solution, as it turns out, requires a magic of **generic associated types**.



Let's go back to the definition of our iterator:

```
pub trait Iterator {
   type Item;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```



Let's go back to the definition of our iterator:

```
pub trait Iterator {
    type Item;
    fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

The issue with current design is that we cannot possibly name or *provide* the lifetime for the **Item** associated type.



Let's go back to the definition of our iterator:

```
pub trait Iterator {
    type Item;
    fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item>;
}
```

The issue with current design is that we cannot possibly name or *provide* the lifetime for the **Item** associated type.

Solution? Let's make the Item generic (at least over lifetimes)!

```
trait StreamingIterator {
    type Item<'a>;
    fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item<'_>>;
}
```

```
impl<R: Read> StreamingIterator for SmartLines<R> {
   type Item<'a> = &'a str;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item<'_>> {
      todo!()
   }
}
```

```
impl<R: Read> StreamingIterator for SmartLines<R> {
   type Item<'a> = &'a str;
   fn next(&mut self) -> Option<Self::Item<'_>> {
      todo!()
   }
}
```

It's been already possible for a while on nightly, although the feature itself is very much work-in-progress.



At this point we can create associated types generic solely over lifetimes:

```
trait Foo {
    type Bar<'a, 'b, 'c>
    where 'a: 'b;
}
```

At this point we can create associated types generic solely over lifetimes:

RUST

```
trait Foo {
    type Bar<'a, 'b, 'c>
    where 'a: 'b;
}
```

... but, as the name of the feature suggests, eventually we'll be able to construct arbitrarily-generic associated types.



Thanks to GATs, in the future we'll be able to create structures generic over - for instance - pointer types:

```
trait PointerFamily {
    type Pointer<T>: Deref<Target = T>;
}
```

```
trait PointerFamily {
    type Pointer<T>: Deref<Target = T>;
}
```

```
struct ArcFamily;
```

```
impl PointerFamily for ArcFamily {
    type Pointer<T> = Arc<T>;
}
```

```
struct RcFamily;
```

```
impl PointerFamily for RcFamily {
    type Pointer<T> = Rc<T>;
}
```

```
trait PointerFamily {
   type Pointer<T>: Deref<Target = T>;
}
struct ArcFamily;
impl PointerFamily for ArcFamily {
   type Pointer<T> = Arc<T>;
}
struct RcFamily;
impl PointerFamily for RcFamily {
   type Pointer<T> = Rc<T>;
}
struct Foo<P: PointerFamily> {
   bar: P::Pointer<String>,
}
```

(example from RFC 1598 @ <u>https://github.com/rust-lang/rfcs/pull/1598</u>)



Bonus acronym: initially this feature was called associated type constructors (ATCs).



What do you think will be the output of this code?

```
use std::mem::size_of;
struct Struct;
enum Enum { }
fn main() {
    println!("{}", size_of::<Struct>());
    println!("{}", size_of::<Enum>());
    println!("{}", size_of::<()>());
    println!("{}", size_of::<!>());
}
```

Yeah, correct:

```
error[E0658]: the `!` type is experimental

println!("{}", size_of::<!>());

n

note: see issue #35121 for more information

= help: add `#![feature(never_type)]` to the crate attributes to

enable
```

```
#![feature(never_type)]
use std::mem::size_of;
struct Struct;
enum Enum { }
fn main() {
    println!("{}", size_of::<Struct>());
    println!("{}", size_of::<Enum>());
    println!("{}", size_of::<()>());
    println!("{}", size_of::<!>());
}
```

Yeah, all those types are **literally empty**:



ZST stands for **zero-sized type**.

ZST stands for **zero-sized type**.

... and they are hella useful!

For instance, the () (called unit type) is used by the Rust's standard library to implement HashSet, reusing code from HashMap:

```
pub struct HashSet<T, S = RandomState> {
    map: HashMap<T, (), S>,
}
```

RUST

Since both Rust and LLVM know that such map contains only keys, all the additional code gets striped out - yay **zero-cost abstractions**!

By the way, () is both a value, and a type:



There exists a similar type, ! (called **never type**), which serves a similar purpose, with one difference: you can't obtain a value of this type.



Let's talk: Result<String, ()>.

```
Let's talk: Result<String, ()>:
```

```
fn print_me(val: Result<String, ()>) {
    match val {
        Ok(val) => println!("ok: {:?}", val),
        Err(val) => println!("err: {:?}", val),
    }
}
fn main() {
    print_me(Ok("pancake".into())); // ok: "pancake"
    print_me(Err(())); // err: ()
}
```



Let's talk: Result<String, !>.

```
Let's talk: Result<String, !>:
```

```
fn print_me(val: Result<String, !>) {
    match val {
        Ok(val) => println!("ok: {:?}", val),
        Err(val) => println!("err: {:?}", val),
    }
}
fn main() {
    print_me(Ok("pancake".into()));
    print_me(Err(!)); // compile-time error
}
```

For Result<String, !> there's *no way* to construct the Err variant.


As an example, we can use ! to implement a non-failing FromStr:

```
RUST
use std::str::FromStr;
struct Person(String);
impl FromStr for Person {
    type Err = !;
    fn from_str(str: &str) -> Result<Self, !> {
        Ok(Person(
            str.into()
        ))
    }
}
fn main() {
    let Ok(person) = Person::from_str("Tommy Wiseau");
   // ^ no need to `.unwrap()`, because Rust understands
   // ^ that the `Err` variant cannot be possibly constructed
    println!("Oh hi, {}!", person.0);
}
```

ZSTs

As another example, we *will be able to* use ! (called **never type**) to implement a non-failing **FromStr**:

```
RUST
use std::str::FromStr;
struct Person(String);
impl FromStr for Person {
   type Err = !;
    fn from_str(str: &str) -> Result<Self, !> {
        Ok(Person(
            str.into()
       ))
    }
}
fn main() {
    let Ok(person) = Person::from_str("Tommy Wiseau");
   // ^ no need to `.unwrap()`, because Rust understands
   // ^ that the `Err` variant cannot be possibly constructed
    println!("Oh hi, {}!", person.0);
}
```

Currently the compiler cannot yet fully reason about the **!**:

error[E0005]: refutable pattern in local binding: `Err(\_)` not covered

The work on this feature is still ongoing though, so fingers crossed it gets merged soon!



DST stands for **dynamically-sized type**.

DST stands for **dynamically-sized type**.

You've for sure had the chance to use them tons of times:

- str (but not &str or String),
- [T] (but not [T; n], &[T] or Vec<T>),
- dyn Trait (but not &dyn Trait).

DST stands for **dynamically-sized type**.

You've for sure had the chance to use them tons of times:

- str (but not &str or String),
- [T] (but not [T; n], &[T] or Vec<T>),
- dyn Trait (but not &dyn Trait).

... but there's also *one* more.

```
What's the size of this type?
```

```
struct NamedSlice<'a, T> {
    name: String,
    slice: &'a [T],
}
```

```
RUST
```



What's the size of this type?

```
struct NamedSlice<'a, T> {
    name: String,
    slice: &'a [T],
}
```

RUST

24 bytes for String + 8 bytes for &[T] + padding = 40 bytes.

(counted using std::mem::size\_of() on a x86-64)

}

```
What's the size of this type?
```

```
struct NamedSlice<T> {
```

name: String,
slice: [T], // look, ma! no reference

RUST

What's the size of *this* type?

```
struct NamedSlice<T> {
    name: String,
    slice: [T],
}
```

RUST

First things first: **this is legal**; it's fine for a struct's *last* field to be unsized.

What's the size of *this* type?

```
struct NamedSlice<T> {
    name: String,
    slice: [T],
}
```

RUST

First things first: **this is legal**; it's fine for a struct's *last* field to be unsized.

Second things second: this struct is !Sized.

```
use std::mem::size_of;
struct NamedSlice<T> {
    name: String,
    slice: [T],
}
fn main() {
    println!("{}", size_of::<NamedSlice<String>>());
}
```

error[E0277]: the size for values of type `[String]` cannot be known at compilation time

```
struct NamedSlice<T> {
    name: String,
    slice: [T],
}
fn main() {
    let ns = NamedSlice {
        name: "named".into(),
        slice: [1, 2, 3] as _,
    };
}
```

#### RUST



What do you think the compiler will say about this code?

fn	<pre>main()</pre>	{	RUST
	break	rust;	
}			

#### Yes, rustc has easter eggs:

```
rustc <u>test.rs</u>
error[E0425]: cannot find value `rust` in this scope
 --> test.rs:2:11
        break rust;
             ^^^^ not found in this scope
error[E0268]: `break` outside of a loop
 --> test.rs:2:5
       break rust;
       ^^^^ cannot `break` outside of a loop
error: internal compiler error: It looks like you're trying to break rust; would you like some ICE?
note: the compiler expectedly panicked. this is a feature.
note: we would appreciate a joke overview: https://github.com/rust-lang/rust/issues/43162#issuecomment-320764675
note: rustc 1.44.0-nightly (dbf8b6bf1 2020-04-19) running on x86 64-unknown-linux-gnu
error: aborting due to 3 previous errors
Some errors have detailed explanations: E0268, E0425.
For more information about an error, try `rustc --explain E0268`.
```

### And, yes, **rustc** has bugs too:

Filters -	Q is:issue is:open label:I-ICE -label:glacier sort:comments-desc		S Labels 300	🕆 Milestones 2	New issue				
Clear current search query, filters, and sorts									
() 151 O	pen ✓ 2,790 Closed	Author - Label -	Projects - M	1ilestones <del>-</del> Assigne	ee 👻 Sort 👻				
ICE in shou regres #62649	n incr comp after s/trait/struct/: src/librusto Id have been pre-allocated but wasn't. A-in ssion-from-stable-to-stable opened on 13 Jul 2019 by ProgVal	c/dep_graph/graph.rs:688: cr-comp C-bug <mark>I-ICE P-high</mark> 1	DepNode Hir() <sup>r</sup> -compiler	<b>2 2</b> 8*	Ĵ2				
U 'rusto ICEBr #70924	ICEBreaker-Cleanup-Crew P-high T-compiler regression-from-stable-to-beta #70924 opened on 8 Apr by manio								
I heise discr #47381	enbug: debug builds `Integer::repr_discr` h im-too-small2.rs` A-LLVM C-bug I-ICE T-comp opened on 12 Jan 2018 by pnkfelix	nas transient ICE on `comp <sup>piler</sup>	ile-fail/enum-		⊊ 25				
<b>! 'rust</b> e #46981	c' panicked at 'failed to acquire jobserver to opened on 24 Dec 2017 by matthiasbeyer	oken:' C-bug I-ICE T-cargo			⊊ 22				
() ICE v #60228	while bootstrapping (./x.py build) #2 A-rustbuild opened on 24 Apr 2019 by matthiaskrgr	uild C-bug IICE P-medium T-	compiler		Ļ <b>—</b> 19				

ICE: internal compiler error

ICE: internal compiler error

CTA: call to action

# My iced-tea for you

Try contributing to rustc, cargo, rustfmt or any other project you find useful - all of them could use a little bit more love!

Bonus points for fixing an actual ICE in the compiler, but really: even a single, small commit can improve your (or someone else's!) workflow and make (your or someone else's) life better.

## To sum up

Scary acronym	Comforting expansion		
ATC	associated type constructor ( $\rightarrow$ GAT)		
CTA	call to action		
DST	dynamically-sized type		
GAT	generic associated type		
HRTB	higher-rank trait bound		
ICE	internal compiler error		
OIBIT	opt-in built-in trait ( $\rightarrow$ auto trait)		
ZST	zero-sized type		

### Scary Acronyms (and Super Creeps) ~ Patryk Wychowaniec, 2020

